

R.

PERPUSTAKAAN UNIVERSITI MALAYA

ACH- 9606

INVC.....19/12/00

SoftProMT

A Prototype Software Project Management Tool

A thesis submitted to the Faculty of Computer Science
and Information Technology
University of Malaysia

In fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of
Master of Computer Science

By

Hanan Ettaher Dagez

Faculty of Computer Science And
Information Technology
University of Malaysia

Session 1999

Perpustakaan Universiti Malaysia



A508765859

Dimikrofiskan pada.....29. 11. 2001
No. Mikrofis.....15121
Jumlah Mikrofis.....3

HAMSIAH BT. MOHAMAD ZAHARI
UNIT REPROGRAFI
PERPUSTAKAAN UTAMA
UNIVERSITI MALAYA

UPR

Abstract

Incompleteness and delays are the main problems facing software development projects for a long time. And yet, many organizations are still complaining and suffering from these problems. The aims of this research are to minimize project management problems and difficulties, reduce software development complexity, and help project managers to perform their responsibilities to overcome incompleteness, delays, and the poor quality in software development.

This thesis presents a prototype tool (SoftProMT) to reduce problems, attempts to ensure project quality, and to allow a better software project management. SoftProMT focuses on several topics such as managing the human resources as a major element of project success, analysing and comparing projects, tracking the change in project requirements, tracking and monitoring a project's progress, providing an example on integrated system, reducing some complexity, and reducing the acquisition time for suitable equipment. The tool also generates reports to help managers make a better decision. Survey results are also presented in this thesis.

The main research contributions are: project management tool should be integrated with software development for better tracking and controlling the project, analysing and evaluating problems in current and past projects can help in project estimation, tracking and controlling the effects of changes in project requirements can reduce delays and incompleteness, linking a project with the chosen methodology can reduce some project complexity, facilitate assigning people based on certain criteria in software project management tool will certainly ensure the quality of a project, reduces problems, and makes accurate project estimation.

Dedication

I would like to dedicate this work....

To my husband Mufid, and my sons Mohamed and Taha

*To my parents, brothers Husam and Hatem,
and sisters Nesreen and Samah.*

Acknowledgements

I would like to gratefully thank my supervisor Pn. Zarinah Mohd Kasirun for her advice and suggestions. I am indebted to my previous supervisor Prof. Dr Khairuddin Hashim for his invaluable advice, criticism and correction.

As ever, my husband Mufid is a constant source of support and encouragement. His efforts do not go unnoticed, and is greatly appreciated. Much thanks go to Pn. Zaitun Abu Bakar and Mr. Ibrahim Abobaker for their review and suggestions.

I would greatly thank my colleges Ayad, Abdusalm, Rajendren, and Martin for their help and support.

I would also acknowledge the Libyan government that awarded a scholarship to finance my study.

Last, but certainly not least, I would like to thank all the staff of the Faculty of Computer Science & IT of University Malaya for their services and making my time pleasant one.

With that, best wishes and good health to all, sincerely from me, and thank you once again.

Hanan Etaher Dagez
1999

Table Of Contents

List Of Figures	I
List Of Tables	II
Research Publications	III
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Software Project Management Problems	2
1.3 Software Project Management Tools	4
1.4 Research Objective	5
1.5 Thesis Outline	7
2 Software Engineering Project Management	9
2.1 Introduction	9
2.2 Problems Affecting Development of Software Projects	10
2.3 Software Project Management Functions And Activities	14
2.3.1 Planning	14
2.3.1.1 Project Estimation	15
2.3.1.2 Project Schedule	19
2.3.2 Organizing	23
2.3.3 Staffing	25
2.3.4 Directing	27
2.3.5 Controlling	30
2.4 Process Management	31
2.5 Acquisition Management	32
2.6 Metrics Management	33
2.7 Risk Management	34
2.8 Software Configuration Management (SCM)	36
2.9 Software Project Management Quality	38

2.10 Software Quality Assurance (SQA)	39
2.11 Software Project Management Tools: Analysis & Comparison	40
2.11.1 Tools Evaluation.....	41
2.11.2 Analysis And Limitation Of Current Tools	43
2.12 Conclusion	45
 3 Survey Of Software Engineering Project Management Problems In Malaysia	 46
3.1 Survey Objectives	46
3.2 Prior Studies	46
3.3 Research Approach	49
3.4 Survey Results	49
3.5 Discussion.....	59
3.6 Correlation	60
 4 Requirement Definition of SoftProMT	 62
4.1 Introduction	62
4.2 Requirements Definition	62
4.2.1 Analysis Of Old Projects	63
4.2.2 Analyze And Compare Selected Projects	64
4.2.3 Assign Personnel	65
4.2.4 Availability	69
4.2.5 Change In Requirement.....	70
4.2.6 System Integration.....	70
4.2.7 Methodology	71
4.2.8 Display Information And Generate Reports	71
4.2.9 Tracking And Monitoring The Project	73
4.2.10 Technology Issue	73
 5 Analysis And System Design	 75
5.1 System Overview.....	75

5.2 Classes.....	75
5.3 Objects	77
5.4 System Design.....	79
5.4.1 Database Design Examples	84
5.4.2 Samples Of Screen Design.....	85
5.4.3 Examples On Input and Output Screen Design.....	91
6 Implementation Of SoftProMT	95
6.1 Introduction.....	95
6.2 Algorithms.....	96
6.3 Implementation Description.....	101
7 Testing and validating SoftProMT	121
7.1 Introduction.....	121
7.2 Testing Case.....	121
7.2.1 Some Samples Of SoftProMT Functionality Test.....	124
7.2.2 Detected Errors.....	136
7.3 Validation.....	136
7.4 Validatoion Results.....	137
8 Summary & Conclusion	140
8.1 Summary.....	140
8.2 Contributions.....	141
8.2.1 Research Finding.....	141
8.2.2 SoftProMT Contributions.....	142
8.3 Further Research.....	144

Bibliography

Appendices

Appendix A The characteristics of software project management tools.

Appendix B Questionnaire on software project management problems in Malaysia.

Appendix C Questionnaire on SoftProMT validation.

List Of Figures

Figure 2.1: Milestone chart.....	20
Figure 2.2: An Example of a Gantt chart	21
Figure 2.3: An example of a PERT and CPM	23
Figure 2.4: Acquisition management	33
Figure 3.1: Bar chart representation of the planning problems	51
Figure 3.2: Bar chart representation of estimation problems	51
Figure 3.3: Bar chart representation of problems in organization	52
Figure 3.4: Bar chart representation of staffing problems.....	53
Figure 3.5: Bar chart representation of directing problems.....	54
Figure 3.6: The scales of the controlling problems.....	55
Figure 3.7: Bar chart representation on requirement problems	56
Figure 3.8: Bar chart representation of problem level.....	56
Figure 3.9: Phases where fault detected are most critical.....	57
Figure 3.10: Tool requirements at various stages	58
Figure 5.1: System overview	75
Figure 5.2: Class diagram.....	77
Figure 5.3: Objects diagram.....	78
Figure 5.4: Classes diagram.....	80
Figure 5.5a: Scenario for assigning personnel.....	81
Figure 5.5b: Scenario for changing and monitoring project requirements	83
Figure 5.6: Menu screen.....	87
Figure 5.7: Warning message for invalid dates	89
Figure 5.8: Question message for confirmation deleting the project.....	89
Figure 5.9: The help screen of change in requirement option	90
Figure 5.10: Project information.....	91
Figure 5.11: Activity form.....	92
Figure 5.12: The problems due to change in requirements	93
Figure 5.13: The report form of the problems due to change in requirements.....	94

Figure 5.14: Assign people base on certain factors	94
Figure 6.1: Main functions of the system.....	95
Figure 6.2: The options from the <i>File</i> menu.....	96
Figure 6.3: The <i>Show</i> action from activity form.....	98
Figure 6.4: The options in <i>View</i> from the main menu.....	99
Figure 6.5: The options in the <i>Tool</i>	100
Figure 6.6: The system's main screen.....	101
Figure 6.7: The project form.....	103
Figure 6.8: The technology issue.....	104
Figure 6.9: The selected methodology screen	105
Figure 6.10: The activity form.....	107
Figure 6.11: A sample of the problems in specification phase.....	108
Figure 6.12: The resources matching the conditions	108
Figure 6.13: The personnel information screen.....	109
Figure 6.14: Reusability in past project	109
Figure 6.15: The view, update, and delete activities and tasks information	110
Figure 6.16: People expert in a specific programming language.....	111
Figure 6.17: The information about the changes in requirements for a specific project	113
Figure 6.18: The bar chart of a project.....	115
Figure 6.19: The main screen for change in requirements.....	116
Figure 6.20: Displaying a person's availability.....	117
Figure 6.21: The availability of the personnel within two period time.....	118
Figure 6.22: The similar problems in the selected projects.....	120
Figure 7.1a: Project 1 and project 2 methodology.....	125
Figure 7.1b: Project 3 methodology.....	126
Figure 7.2: The problems in selected project.....	127
Figure 7.3: Shows that the selected problem is affecting the selected project	128
Figure 7.4: The similarity between project 1,2, and 3	129
Figure 7.5: The problems are affecting the selected projects	130
Figure 7.6: The person's availability.....	131
Figure 7.7a: The risk level (sample 1).....	132

Figure 5.14: Assign people base on certain factors	94
Figure 6.1: Main functions of the system.....	95
Figure 6.2: The options from the <i>File</i> menu.....	96
Figure 6.3: The <i>Show</i> action from activity form.....	98
Figure 6.4: The options in <i>View</i> from the main menu.....	99
Figure 6.5: The options in the <i>Tool</i>	100
Figure 6.6: The system's main screen.....	101
Figure 6.7: The project form.....	103
Figure 6.8: The technology issue.....	104
Figure 6.9: The selected methodology screen	105
Figure 6.10: The activity form.....	107
Figure 6.11: A sample of the problems in specification phase.....	108
Figure 6.12: The resources matching the conditions	108
Figure 6.13: The personnel information screen.....	109
Figure 6.14: Reusability in past project	109
Figure 6.15: The view, update, and delete activities and tasks information	110
Figure 6.16: People expert in a specific programming language.....	111
Figure 6.17: The information about the changes in requirements for a specific project	113
Figure 6.18: The bar chart of a project.....	115
Figure 6.19: The main screen for change in requirements.....	116
Figure 6.20: Displaying a person's availability.....	117
Figure 6.21: The availability of the personnel within two period time.....	118
Figure 6.22: The similar problems in the selected projects.....	120
Figure 7.1a: Project 1 and project 2 methodology	125
Figure 7.1b: Project 3 methodology.....	126
Figure 7.2: The problems in selected project.....	127
Figure 7.3: Shows that the selected problem is affecting the selected project	128
Figure 7.4: The similarity between project 1,2, and 3	129
Figure 7.5: The problems are affecting the selected projects	130
Figure 7.6: The person's availability.....	131
Figure 7.7a: The risk level (sample 1).....	132

Figure 7.7b: The risk level (sample 2) 132

Figure 7.8a: The project after last change in requirements. 133

Figure 7.8b: The project before last change in requirements. 134

Figure 7.9: Example of invalid date..... 135

Figure 7.10: Example of invalid date..... 135

List Of Tables

Table 3.1: General planning problems	50
Table 3.2: Estimating problems	51
Table 3.3: Major problems in the organization stage	52
Table 3.4: Staffing problems	52
Table 3.5: Directing problems	53
Table 3.6: Controlling problems	54
Table 3.7: Requirements problems	55
Table 3.8: Correlation coefficient calculations	58
Table 5.1: Change in requirements	84
Table 5.2: Database form for the expert's information	84
Table 7.1a: Samples of software development life cycle phases problems	127
Table 7.1b: Samples of the personnel information	123
Table 7.2: General information about the projects	124

Research Publications

- * Khairuddin Hashim, and Hanan Ettaher Dagez, “*Survey of Software Engineering Project Management Problems in Malaysia*”, Proceedings of the 16th IASTED Applied Informatics’98 , Presented in Germany on February 23-25, 1998, page 123-126.
- * Hanan Ettaher Dagez and Khairuddin Hashim, “ *Software Project Management Tools: Analysis & Comparison*”, Proceedings of the International Conference on Information Technology and Multimedia at UNITEN (ICIMU’98), Presented in Tenaga University in Malaysia on September 1998, page. SE4-1.
- * Hanan Ettaher Dagez and Khairuddin Hashim, “*SoftProMT: A Prototype Software Project Management Tool*”, Accepted in WEC99 Technical Conference of Computer and Communication Engineering, in UPM University in Malaysia, July 1999.